## REMARKS

The Applicants do not believe that examination of the foregoing amendment will result in the introduction of new matter into the present application for invention.

Therefore, the Applicants, respectfully, request that the above amendment be entered in and that the claims to the present application, kindly, be reconsidered.

The Final Office Action dated January 28, 2005 has been received and considered by the Applicants. Claims 1-23 are pending in the present application for invention. Claims 1, 5-7, 10-13, 17, 18 and 21-23 are rejected by the January 28, 2005 Final Office Action. Claims 2-4, 8, 9, 14-16, 19 and 20 are objected to by the January 28, 2005 Final Office Action as being dependent upon a base claim that is rejected, but otherwise are stated as being allowable. The foregoing amendment has amended Claim 1 to include the feature of Claim 2, and Claim 13 has been amended to include the features of Claim 14. The Examiner has indicated that this subject matter is allowable. The remaining amendments have been made to correct dependency as a result of the aforementioned amendment and to spelling errors that resulted in objections discussed herein below.

The Examiner states that the proposed corrections to the drawings submitted September 25, 2004 are acceptable. The Examiner further states that the objection to the drawings remains until the Applicants submit new corrected drawings incorporating the proposed corrections. Accordingly, the Applicants submit corrected drawings with this response that incorporate the proposed corrections to the drawings submitted on September 25, 2004.

The specification on page 14, line 9 has been objected to because of an informality that exists in a figure referenced. The foregoing amendment to the specification has corrected this oversight by replacing –Fig. 10—within "Fig. 11" as suggested by the Examiner.

The Final Office Action objects to claims 1, 2, 17 and 19. With respect to Claims 17 and 19, the foregoing amendment to the claims has made the correction suggested by the Examiner. Regarding Claims 1 and 2, the items referred to by the Examiner are typographical errors that resulted in an incorrect representation of these claims. Therefore, no amendment is required to correct these objections. Claims 1 and 2 as presented, herein, have been reproduced without the typographical errors to obviate this

objection. The forgoing amendment to the claims corrects a similar typographical error within Claim 13.

The Final Office Action rejects Claims 1-3 and 5-7, 13, 17 and 18 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,411,574 issued to Su et al. (hereinafter referred to as <u>Su et al.</u>). The foregoing amendment to the claim is believed to have obviated this rejection.

The Office Action rejects Claims 10-12 and 21-23 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Su et al.</u> in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,835,462 issued in the name of Mimnagh (hereafter referred to as <u>Mimnagh</u>). The foregoing amendment to the claims is believed to have obviated this rejection.

The Office Action objects to Claims 2-4, 8, 9, 14-16, 19 and 20 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The Applicants, respectfully, submit that placing the subject matter of Claim 2 and 14, respectively, within Claims 1 and 13 obviates these objections.

Applicant is not aware of any additional patents, publications, or other information not previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office which would be required under 37 C.F.R. 1.99.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, the Applicant believes that the present application is in condition for allowance, with such allowance being, respectfully, requested.

Respectfully submitted,

James D. Leimbach

Patent Attorney Reg. No. 34,374

Tel. No. (585) 381-9983

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION
I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being transmitted on this date via
facsimile transmission to (703) 872-9306 AND addressed to:
Mail Stop: Amendment
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date of Transmission: March 23, 2005

(Signature)

James D. Leimbach

PAGE 14/14 \* RCVD AT 3/23/2005 5:02:43 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/5 \* DNIS:8729306 \* CSID:5853819983